

A. Inthoduction.

- 1. In banning the World PeaceCouncil (WPC) from directing the activities of the World Peace Movement from headquarters in Vienna, the Austrian Ministry of Intermor charged the WPC with taking a one-sided, biased attitude in the recent period towards international political events which could, if continued, give the impression that Austria supports or tolerates these activities. Thexdisselution It appears from a "Statement by the Austrian Peace Countril" bublished in the 1 March 1957 issue of the WPC Bulletin (still published in Vienna despite the ban) that the WPC has seized upon and interpreted in its own fashion that phrase in the dissolution order referring particularly to statements, declarations, etc., "in the recent period". The Austrian Peace Council statement interprets this as referring only to the period since 9 Novemeber 1956, a date manufact, the Statement claims, changes were made in the WPC Secretariat that bring it in line with Austrian legal requirements. It is appearent, however, that the Austrian Government intended no such narrow restriction: any reference to m "recent international events" must surely be taken to include, at least, the seigure of the Suez Canal by the Egyptian Government, the subsequent armed action by England, F, ance and Israel, and also the use of armed force by the Soviet Union against the Hungarian people. the WPC would like to eliminate consideration of the "one-sided, biased action and attitudes" it took on these problems and events. A sufficient number of its individual members and affiliates found the position of the WPC on these recent crises intolerable enough to split the Council and immobilize many of the national affiliates. has even been expressed that the WPC can survive. (An account of WPC attitudes and action during the Suez and Hungarian crises and the subsequent revulsion and disruption caused among important affiliates will be included later in this paper
- 2. Even this attempt to direct attention away from the important issues has a false aspect. Although the WPc had been discussing decentralization, liberalization, demonratization and reorgan ization off and on since the edict for such changes went out from the 20th Congress of the CPSu in February 1956, the reorganization was always admittedly only contemplated and no public announcement was ever made that actual reorganization had taken place. No formal meeting of Council or Bureau that could provide a function of the second announced inches during the time specified. The Helsinki meeting of 18 November 1956 was called for and concrand with only the "Emergency" in the Middle East (until some of the members forced the meeting to take a stand on the situation in Hungary also). Many of the signatures to the resulting "resolution" were obtained from WPC members not present; the meeting was not a quorum. The only other meeting was in Patte (Recember 1956 or I nuary 1957) of which Isabelle Blume.

other meeting was in Patrs (December 1956 or J nuary 1957) of which Isabelle Blume, Approved For Release 1999/08/24: CIA-RDP78-00915R000700110005-7

(2)

a chief WPC Secretary and spokesman, said, "It will be a meeting of neither the Bureau nor the Secretariat but a conference called by President Joliot-Curie to work out practical measures infulfilling decisions adopted in the June and November meetings."

(The June meeting, indidentally, was also a mere handful of the "clique" who run the WPC, and by no means a representative quorum). So the WPC has put isself in a dilemma: either there was no reorganization as claimed, or it must renounce its claims to be a democratic, automomous body following definite parliamentary procedures outlined in its content, since no formal meeting occurred, and with the present dissension in the ranks, could scarcely have agreed upon reorganization plans. If we way. It may be further noted that even the contemplated reorganization, as announced by the WPC, follows closely the changes in the organization and polities of the Soviet Union, (Note: For the purposes of the legal suit, any reorganization at a Bureau meeting announced to take place in March 1957 in Berlin cannot be considered, since it means will occur after the expulsion order.)

- against the wishes and without the permission of the Austrian authorities. Aftermative having been expelled from Paris in 1951 for subversive activities, the WPC had tried futilely to find another location in the West (its target), and spent a brief period in Prague. It was able to get into Vienna under the protection of the Soviet occupation. It was reliably reported that the Vienna Government refused to grant visas for the first international meeting of the WPC after the end of the occupation. This meeting took place in October 1955 and only three of the WPC9 announced list of fourteen foreign delegates were present: three (Ilya Ehrenburg was one) who were able to enter on diplomatic passports. It was believed that the moderate reaction of the Vienna Communist press to this was perhaps because the front organizations feared that violent press attacks might force the Austrian Ministry of Interior to publish the unsavory records of the persons to whom visas

Approved For Release 1999/08/24: CIA-RDP78-00915R000700110005-7
5. Communist front organizations form an entity, of which the WPC is an

had been refused.

Approved For Release 1999/08/24 : CIA-RDP78-00915R000700110005-7



important part, as is shown by the interlocking director ates and joint advertising and sponsoring of events of the World Peace Council, the World Federation of Trade Unions, the World Federation of Democratic Women, the World Federation of Democratic Youth, the World Federation of Scientific Workers, the International Students Union, the International Association of Democratic Lawyers, the International Organization of Journalists, and the Committee for the Promotion of International Trade. The last-named front was recently dissolved, but it had been created ath the WPC-sponsored Moscow Economic Conference. (See Attachment 2)

	6.	The or	verwhelmi	u p ibi	reponderance	of	Comm	nunists	on th	e WPC	and its	
national	affi]	Liates	prechude	any	possibility	of	its	being	other	than	biased	
and one-	sided.										25X1A	.60